

**January SacMoves Call**  
Friday, February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023

**Attendance:** Deb Banks, Dan Allison, Jeffery Tardaguila, Gregg Fishman, John Deeter, Barbara Stanton, Chris Flores, Dyane Osorio, Kathy Saechou, Sam Rice, Sue Teranishi, Susan Herre, Ali Doerr, Adrian Rehn, Chris Brown, Ralph Propper, Carolyn Tran, Tom Matoff, David Moore, Angela E. Hearring

**SacMoves Charter**

**Deb Banks:** The SacMoves coalition has not updated its Charter in a while. The last draft was pre-pandemic and we never really got that charter adopted by the group. So, the Process Committee thought it was a good time to revisit this and update it as a group!

**Dan Allison:** We have brought the charter to the SacMoves Coalition twice before, gotten comments back, and made modifications to the proposal. We have also reconsidered some—we do not always adopt all of them. Today it is brought to you by the Process Committee, not for further modifications, but for approval or not. (Though Dan is a representative with STAR, he is talking on behalf of the coalition today).

**Jeffery Tardaguila:** I don't see an old copy to compare, what did the new modifications do?

**Dan Allison:** We removed language from the 2020 measure that didn't pass, rephrased bullet items to make them clearer, removed extraneous information, and as always, we added pieces about equity and how to implement it in our work. (There is now a footnote that directly addresses our commitment to equity).

*\*The Coalition Charter was adopted unanimously by call participants\**

**Deb Banks:** How do we see our group using this charter?

**Dan Allison:** The charter is both for us and the public. I think it's important for the public to have a clear understanding of what we do, and for us to have a document that we can look back at to remind ourselves what our coalition is about.

**Ali Doerr:** I'm thinking it might be a good idea to start building out a website soon, now that we have a charter, so we can have a place to display our work. It's probably an important next step so that folks are more aware of our coalition. (Ali is happy to help with this process)

**Deb Banks:** I'm in favor of that idea. It's been on the list of the Process Committee for a while, and we might be at a place to finally bring this together. When we brought the SacMoves Coalition to city council meetings and such, it seemed like we had some street credit! Though we are a rag tag bunch, it may help us and our credibility.

**Angela E Hearing:** I am very appreciative and supportive of the Charter, as an advocate for equity and inclusion. I have recently been appointed to the Equity Advisory Council for Link 21-- a collaboration between BART and Capitol Corridor-- and am the only representative from the city of Sacramento. So it warms my heart to see SacMoves move in that direction with social media. Through this position, I have some experience with social media and am happy to help Ali with that.

**Updates & Discussion from people on meetings related to Climate Action Plan, Mayor's Environmental Roundtable**

**Deb Banks:** Nailah from Climate Plan recently called a meeting at City Hall with several organizations that were involved in "No on Measure A" efforts. It is interesting because a lot of us are also involved in the Mayor's Environmental Roundtable, but this meeting did not include any of the agencies like SacRT and SACOG.

We discussed our values, what we'd like to see in the city, and things we think can help move that forward. We are supposed to have a combination of meetings moving forward, with the goal of helping to figure out what the group wants to do collaboratively moving forward.

**Sam Rice:** Based on what I'm hearing and various other efforts generally – I know it seems like we just had an election, but everyone should be aware that the faster that groups like this (SacMoves) are able to move forward, the faster other organizations will see us as a vehicle to move these issues forward. That way another entity doesn't step into that role, one that we may not like. I like this group and think that it's important that we're leading the way, rather than another.

**Deb Banks:** I completely agree – with an absence, there's a void that can be filled by others; And if we're not leading, we're following. That puts us in the defensive vs. the offensive.

**Ali Doerr:** There are a few meetings that may be on your radar. There is mention of a SACOG Blueprint effort that is gearing up to be restarted. There are some webinars for that, and I'm figuring out how to get plugged into this. Additionally, the Active Transportation Commission for the city of Sacramento is meeting the first Thursday of each month and starting in-person meetings in March. It would great to have folks there for support.

**Susan Herre:** On February 9, Ralph Propper and I had a meeting with SACOG. They called the meeting, and wanted to tell us that the MTP adoption date will be delayed to the fall of 2025. SACOG wants to get more input on two local community efforts-- the "Federal Raise Grant," and "Engage in power and Implementation." They also wanted to make sure they were in sync with the "mega region" which includes Sacramento, the Bay Area, and San Joaquin, to better support regional efforts.

Near the end of the call, we asked for advice for a new measure. They suggested we make sure that everything in the measure is consistent with the MTP, and that we extract components from

their plan that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and advance them earlier. There are more specifics on that that we could get from them, but those were reasonable suggestions that we could build from.

**Dan Allison:** I'm thinking about our email conversations—we have generally been silent in between meetings, but the process committee should talk about more email distribution. It may also be important to include SACOG since the existing framework is already largely in alignment with the MTP. There's a lot of different groups talking about how they might lead or not lead in the next transportation funding. It's great that these convos are going on, as long as it settles out by May or June, so that we know who's leading and who's following.

**Chris brown:** It's important for this group to be aware of the Climate Emergency Task Force that is meeting every second Thursday of the month to create a climate emergency plan for the county to reach carbon neutrality by 2030. The plan identifies transportation as one of the key elements and it is going to have a transportation advisory panel. I suggest you get in contact if you'd like to be involved (CEMTF: [Here](#))

**Deb Banks:** There is so much work happening at so many different levels. How do we keep track of all this and what do we focus on? This is not something we have decided yet, but it is something we might want to think about moving forward,

**Proposal: In-person SacMoves Meeting → 2 hours, Thursday March 9 at 10:00am, Breathe Building Conference Room**

**Deb Banks:** While we can have these fantastic zoom meetings, it doesn't allow us to determine what direction that we want to lead. The process committee wanted to bring forward the idea of having an in-person meeting and finally coming together in the Breathe Building. We could have a facilitated conversation about where we stand and how we want to move forward with our round of messages, and how we want to interact with all these meetings going on.

We haven't really been clear about how us as SacMoves wanted to move forward, and it might be a good time now to meet together to get some clarity on that. I'm offering up that we have an in-person meeting—maybe on March 9. It is important to think through the stuff in person that we can't talk through on zoom. Show of hands, who would be interesting in participating?

*\*A majority of those on the call raised their hands\**

**Dan Allison:** I support March 9<sup>th</sup>. Unless there is a conflict with some other event going on that involves a bunch of us, then we should stick to that. Doodle can be complicated. (*Barbara Stanton Agreed*)

**Angela E. Hearring:** I suggest we use a hybrid model to make sure it's accessible to folks that cannot make it in person for various reasons. (*Barbara Stanton and David Moore agreed*)

**Ali Doerr:** I suggest having separate facilitators for the attendants who are in person and who are online so it's not overly complicated. A doodle poll or When2meet might work better for finding the best day for everyone to meet.

**Deb Banks:** Who else should be in the room? I can put this out to everyone in SacMoves. I'm not sure if this is the place where we add people from outside the group.

**Dan Allison:** I would like to have a housing voice in the room. I think this voice needs to be there for this meeting. Other voices can be added later on, but this is important. (*David Moore echoed support*)

### Other Updates, things to consider/know about

**Deb Banks:** A reminder that Brent Toderian will be the keynote speaker at the upcoming "State of Downtown" Breakfast in Sacramento on Tuesday at 8 am. Brent Toderian is a nationally and internationally respected practitioner and thought-leader on cities and city-building, with over 30 years of experience in advanced urbanism, city planning, urban design, transportation and change management. Over the last 10 years since returning to consulting practice, he has advised and collaborated with cities, states, agencies and best-practice developers around the world.

Tickets for a cheap seat with coffee are \$35, and \$150 for a table for lunch. Deb encouraged folks to come listen if they have time. <https://www.downtownsac.org/learn-about-downtown/events/state-of-downtown/>

**Next meeting:** We will be meeting next on **March 17<sup>th</sup>** at 10am.